



Shephali

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
INTERIM APPLICATION (L) NO. 3967 OF 2024
IN
WRIT PETITION (L) NO. 9792 OF 2023
WITH
WRIT PETITION (L) NO. 9792 OF 2023**

Kunal Kamra ...Applicant
In the matter between
Kunal Kamra ...Petitioner
Versus
State of Maharashtra & Ors ...Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION (L) NO. 14955 OF 2023
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION (L) NO. 4178 OF 2024
IN
WRIT PETITION (L) NO. 14955 OF 2023
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION (L) NO. 17704 OF 2023
(Not on Board)
IN
WRIT PETITION (L) NO. 14955 OF 2023**

Editors Guild of India ...Petitioner
Versus

SHEPHALI
SANJAY
MORMARE

Digitally signed by
SHEPHALI SANJAY
MORMARE
Date: 2024.03.14
14:19:34 +0530

State of Maharashtra & Ors

...Respondents

WITH
(CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION)
WRIT PETITION NO. 7953 OF 2023

WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION (ST) NO. 3819 OF 2024
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 7953 OF 2023

Association of India Magazines

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Ors

...Respondents

Mr Navroz Seervai, Senior Advocate, with, Mr Darius Khambata, Senior Advocate, with Arti Raghavan, Vrinda Bhandari, Gayatri Malhotra, Abhinav Sekhri, Tanmay Singh i/b Meenaz Kakalia, for the Petitioner in Writ Petition (L) No 9792 of 2023.

Mr Shadan Farasat, with Hrishika Jha & Natasha Maheshwari, i/b Bimal Rajsekhar for the Petitioner in WPL/14955/2023.

Mr Arvind Datar, Senior Advocate, with Nisha Bhambani, Rahul Unnikrishnan & Bharat Manghani, i/b Gautam Jain, for the Applicant in IAL/17704/2023.

Mr Gautam Bhatia, with Radhika Roy, i/b Aditi Saxena for the Petitioner in WP/7953/2023.

Mr Tushar Mehta, Solicitor General, (appeared online) with Devang Vyas, Additional Solicitor General, Rajat Nair, Gaurang Bhushan, Aman Mehta, DP Singh, Savita Ganoo, Anusha Amin, Vaishnavi, Bhuvanesh Kumar, Additional Secretary, Vikram Sahay, Director & Ritesh Kumar Sahu, Scientist D, for the Respondent-UoI in all matters.

**CORAM G.S. Patel &
Neela Gokhale, JJ.**
DATED: 13th March 2024

PC:-

1. AS Chandurkar J has rendered his opinion. Consequently, the majority view is that the Interim Applications for continuation of the previous statement are rejected.
2. In view of this, all Interim Applications are disposed of.

(Neela Gokhale, J)

(G. S. Patel, J)